New Jersey Department of Education Comprehensive Accountability Office for State Supervised School Districts

Transition Plan for the Return of Local Control to Newark Public Schools

Comprehensive Accountability Office Report—July 2019 Interim Report

Prepared by Bloustein Local Government Research Center Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey







SUMMARY

This report is the second of three planned Reports of the Comprehensive Accountability Office (CAO) concerning the final phase of the transition of the Newark Public Schools (NPS) from being a state supervised school district to full local control. This report is an Interim Report coving the period of February 1 through July 31, 2019. It follows the "1st Annual Report that covered February 1, 2018 through January 30, 2019.1 It reports on the district's progress in meeting metrics described in an "Accountability Scorecard" that is part of the Transition Plan to local control. The CAO finds that the NPS is achieving "fully implemented" scores on the required metrics. The CAO also finds that many metrics have shown they are sustainable by the district into the future. A final report is planned for early 2020.

For a history of the program, the role of the Comprehensive Accountability Office, and explanation of the Scorecard and its Evaluation, readers will find a Background section in Appendix to this report

CAO REVIEW AND OBSERVATIONS

The Plan requires the CAO report to "score" the District's efforts toward maintaining "substantial and sustained" progress toward meeting the expectations of the Plan. In its agreement with the Department, the work of the CAO does not include any interpretation or analysis of the data (i.e., could something have been done differently, or evaluating the quality of a presentation). It further reflects that the CAO "must remain neutral and not engage in interpretation, other than its role to ensure, to the extent reasonably possible, the reliability of the data."

While the Plan's expectation of the CAO to not interpret data is conceptually well-intended, in practice, the obligation to evaluate the status of each metric requires some level of limited judgment. Judgment, however, is inherent when it comes to meeting the intent and requirements of the project. The CAO has taken care to remain neutral in making these assessments. Upon review of the online documentation, interested parties may have different interpretations, as reasonable people may view content, data and observations from different perspectives.

In conducting its task, the CAO relied on the assigned Highly Skilled Professional (HSP) to gather and provide documentation for evaluation, as well as observations on district activities. Some judgment was exercised to request supplemental data as determined necessary to make observations. All other communications were through telephone or email inquiries and responses. For this report, the CAO's evaluation is based on the CAO's review of NPS documentation provided by the HSP and supplemental information requested by the CAO, all intended to reflect the Mechanism for Measurement for each metric.

A web site with the results of, and a downloadable copy of the first report, are online at http://go.rutgers.edu/46g4vdyr

EVALUATING AND SCORING EACH METRIC

The specific observations of the CAO are reflected on the following tables. There is a separate table for each metric. Each metric is shown by category and Plan element, along with the associated Mechanism for Measurement. In some cases, the table combines two related metrics in the same section (but separately scored) as they are closely linked. Documentation supporting the observations are available on the CAO website, http://go.rutgers.edu/46g4vdyr

Each metric includes an "Evaluation Comment" and "Finding" on the metric; this is the CAO's observation on the information and the conclusion reached. This is reflected in the 1-4 numeric score assigned to the metric.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The CAO expresses its appreciation and thanks to the Highly Specialized Professional, Ms. Anzella Nelms, for her support, preparation, diligence, and thorough efforts to advise the CAO and provide organized and timely information as requested.

NPS Interim Metric Analysis

Part 1: Fundamental Considerations		Score		
Section 7: Consequ	uences for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full Transition Plan	1st A*	Int.*	
Metric # 1	The Board does not exhibit a pattern of violations (egregious or	3	4	
	otherwise) of the School Ethics Act.			
Mechanism for	Observation by HSP, School Ethics Commission decisions			
Measurement				
Evaluation Comment: The Board's General Counsel advises that during SY 2019-2019 no complaints were				
filed with the School Ethics Commission against a Board member, no complaints are pending, and no member				
has been found to violate the law.				
Finding: The distric	t is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be s	ustainable.		

Part 1: Fundam	nental Considerations	Score	
Section 7: Consequences for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full Transition Plan		1st A	Int.
Metric # 2	The Board adheres to the Conflict of Interest laws and nepotism policy in making personnel decisions and its role in personnel decisions is in line with the division of responsibilities detailed in the plan in Section 7.	4	4
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation by HSP, NPS Chief Talent Officer report		
Board has been adhe	Evaluation Comment: The District's Executive Director of Human Resources advised in July 2019 that the Board has been adhering to Conflict of Interest laws and nepotism policy and that the board's role in personnel policies is consistent with Section 7 of the plan. The CAO is not aware of any matters to the contrary.		

Finding: The district is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sustainable.

Part II: Governance		Score		
Section 2: Ethics Tr	aining for BOE and Senior Officials	1st A	Int.	
Metric # 3a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board	4	4	
	members.			
Metric # 3b	All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE	4	4	
	within 1 month of the deadline.			
Mechanism for	Sign-in sheets, observation by HSP			
Measurement	Measurement			
Evaluation Comment: The HSP reports that ethics training of board members is on schedule. Training for new				
board members (5/18) and a refresher for staff (7/25) were conducted.				
Finding: The district is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sustainable.				

^{* 1}st A – First Annual Report (January 2019) Int. = This Interim Report (July 2019)

Part II: Governance

Section 2: Unmarked Training Plan Element: Governance Best Practices (this element is not listed as a metric but is included in the Transition Training Plan. It is included here for the record.)

Mechanism for Not applicable Measurement

Evaluation Comment: State law requires all Board members to take three courses (Governance I, II, and III) provided by the NJ School Boards Association. Board members are required to take at least one of the courses annually over the three years of their first term (there is a fourth course for re-elected members). As of this report, all Board members have taken NJSBA Governance I course that includes Ethics, and those appointed two or three years ago have taken their required courses. It is fully expected that members will take complete their required courses (based on when they joined the Board) in the Fall of 2019 Senior staff members have also taken ethics courses.

Finding: District is in substantial compliance at this time. Sustainability is expected as the courses are required of board members.

Part II: Governance		Score		
Section 7: Search for Suc	ccessor Superintendent	1st A	Int	
Metric # 4	The superintendent search meets all five requirements in conducting the search, as described in the Plan.	4	4	
Mechanism for	Observation by HSP, observation and report by state representative on search			
Measurement	committee, report by selected search firm			
Evaluation Comment: This Metric was deemed completed in the January 2019 CAO Report. No other reporting is				
required.				
Finding: The District prev	Finding: The District previously fulfilled the metric.			

Part II: Governance	e	Sc	ore	
Section 7: Search for Su	ccessor Superintendent	1st A	Int	
Metric # 5	The Board and search committee substantially meet	4	4	
	timelines and deadlines laid out in the Plan.			
Mechanism for	Report by state representative on search committee, report by selected search firm			
Measurement				
Evaluation Comment:	Evaluation Comment: This Metric was deemed completed in the January 2019 CAO Report. No other reporting is			
required.				
Finding: The District pre	viously fulfilled the metric.			

Part II: Governance		Score	
Section 9: Evaluation	of the Superintendent	1st A	Int
Metric # 6	The Board adheres to all three requirements of evaluating the successor superintendent, as described in the Plan, upon the conclusion of the successor superintendent's first school year and annually thereafter.	N/A	4
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation by HSP, report by successor superintendent		
	t: The District completed its first year evaluation of the Superintend ent described a process that is consistent with the Plan.	lent. The repo	rt from the
Finding: The district is	s fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be su	stainable.	

Part II: Governance	e	S	core
Section 13: Expectations	Regarding Additional Initiatives	1st A	Int
Metric # 7	The Board and District adhere to all requirements and	4	Pending
	expectations around student enrollment described in the		
	Plan.		
Mechanism for	Observation by HSP, review by CAO of publicly available enrollment materials		
Measurement			
Evaluation Comment:	The January 2019 report highlighted Newark Enrolls for the FY 2	.019/20 Sch	ool year. For
this report, the HSP has advised that substantial activities for SY 2020/2021 are underway for the Fall and Wint		ll and Winter.	
Finding: The district is co	urrently engaged in activities that will eventually fulfill the metric.		

Part III: Instruction and Program		Score		
Section 2: Student Perfo	rmance Data	1st A	Int	
Metric # 8	The District calculates and submits data analysis for the	4	4	
	Instruction and Program Equivalency on time (Fall 2018,			
	when PARCC SGP and graduation data become available).			
Mechanism for	Report to State			
Measurement				
Evaluation Comment: The District's submission in July of 2018 provided compliance for SY 2018/19.				
Finding: The district is fu	lly compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be su	stainable.		

Part III: Instruction and Program		Score	
Section 2: Student Performance Data		1st A	Int
Metric # 9	The District decides and communicates to the	N/A	N/A
	State about whether to apply for an extension of its		•
	equivalency or its intention to be evaluated going		
	forward by recently revised QSAC I&P metrics.		
Mechanism for	Report to State		
Measurement			

Evaluation Comment: Under the Transition Plan, by January 30, 2020, the district must either complete an application to review its I&P equivalency for QSAC or be monitored under the I&P indicators that went into effect on July 1, 2018.

Finding: The district is currently engaged in activities that will eventually fulfill the metric.

Part III: Instruction and Program		Score		
Section 4: Profession	al Development for the BOE and Senior Staff regarding	1st A Int		
Instruction and Progr	am Best Practices			
Metric # 10a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of	4	4	
	the board members.			
Metric # 10b	All trainings are completed by each member of the	4	4	
	full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.			
Mechanism for	Sign-in sheets, Observation and report by HSP			
Measurement				
Evaluation Comment: The district met the plan's deadline of May 2018 as reported in the January 2019				
report.				

Finding: The district is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sustainable.

Part IV: Fiscal Management Score		ore	
Section 2: Basic Fiscal O	bligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	1st A Int	
Metric # 11a	The District prepares a balanced annual budget	4	4
	based on expense analysis, discussions with		
	individual departments, and incorporation of		
	anticipated new needs and cost increases.		
Mechanism for	Board meeting and Finance Committee minutes, observation and report by HSP,		
Measurement	report by Superintendent, report by School Business Administrator and Chief		
	Financial Officer.		

Evaluation Comment: The district continued its budget practices as used in FY 19; they continue to meet this metric. This process provided communications between the central office, assistant superintendents, schools, and community members. It is warranted to report that the district received a "Meritorious Budget Award" from the Association of School Business Officials International. This award appropriately recognizes the efforts of the district's fiscal team.

Finding: The district is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sustainable.

Part IV: Fiscal Ma	nagement	ent Score		
Section 2: Basic Fiscal	Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	1st A	Int	
Metric # 11b	The Board approves a balanced budget on time.	3	4	
Mechanism for	Board meeting and Finance Committee minutes, observation and report by HSP, repor			
Measurement	by Superintendent, report by School Business Administrator and Chief Financial Officer.			
Evaluation Comment:	The SY 2020 budget was adopted on time			
Finding: The district is	fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sus	stainable.		

Part IV: Fiscal Mar	scal Management Score		core	
Section 2: Basic Fiscal O	bligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	1st A	Int	
Metric # 11c	The Board engages appropriately with the District in the	3 4		
	development of the Budget.			
Mechanism for	Board meeting and Finance Committee minutes, observation and report by HSP, report			
Measurement	by Superintendent, report by School Business Administrator and Chief Financial Officer.			
Evaluation Comment:	The SY 2020 budget was adopted on time			
Finding: The district is fu	illy compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sus	stainable.		

Part IV: Fiscal Mana	Part IV: Fiscal Management		re		
Section 2: Basic Fiscal C	bligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	1st A	Int		
Metric # 12	Schools are allocated funding based on school-based	3	4		
	budgeting focused on equity and transparency in school				
	allocations.				
Mechanism for	Review of District's budget development files and School Business Administrator's boar				
Measurement	and public presentations				
Evaluation Comment:	The district continued its MyBudgetFile process with the distribution	on of standard	budget		
elements (floor plan) to	elements (floor plan) to each school, with building principals working with their Assistant Superintendents or senior				
decision makers as appr	opriate to resolve any inconsistencies.				
Finding: The district is fu	illy compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sus	stainable.			

Part IV: Fiscal Mana	/: Fiscal Management Sco		core		
Section 2: Basic Fiscal O	Section 2: Basic Fiscal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices 1st A				
Metric # 13a	The monthly Board Secretary's report is completed and 4				
	reconciled without exceptions as described in the Plan.				
Mechanism for	Three times a year the District presents a fiscal forecast for the remainder of the year to				
Measurement	the Board, as described in the Plan.				
Evaluation Comment: T	he District continues its routine practices of providing the month	ly Board Secret	ary's report		
to the Board.					
Finding: The district is fu	lly compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sus	stainable.	_		

Part IV: Fiscal Ma	Part IV: Fiscal Management		ore		
Section 2: Basic Fiscal (Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	1st A Int			
Metric # 13b	Three times a year the District presents a fiscal	4	4		
	forecast for the remainder of the year to the				
	Board, as described in the Plan.				
Mechanism for	Three times a year the District presents a fiscal fore	ecast for the remainder of the			
Measurement	year to the Board, as described in the Plan.				
Evaluation Comment: Updates were provided to the Board in February, and June. These reports provided					
forecasts of year-to-date savings, revenue and expenditure projections through the end of the fiscal year, and					
comparable amounts fo	r two prior years. A risk assessment of upcoming issue	s is also provided			
Finding: The district is f	ully compliant at this time. The district's practices appea	ar to be sustainab	le.		

Part IV: Fiscal Mai	Part IV: Fiscal Management		ore
Section 2: Basic Fiscal C	bligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	1st A	Int
Metric # 14	At least monthly, the district prepares and analyzes fiscal year cash flow management for all funds, all payments are made on a prompt basis, and reimbursement requests for federal grant awards are submitted in a timely manner for the actual amount of incurred expenditures.	4	4
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation by HSP, Report by School Business Ad	ministrator	
Evaluation Comment:	These reports continue to be made and reviewed by t	he fiscal and mar	agement team.
Finding: The district is fu	ılly compliant at this time. The district's practices appea	ar to be sustainab	le.

Section 2: Basic Fiscal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practi		
	ices 1st A	Int
Metric # 15 The District ends the year with no deficit balar and no line item over appropriated expenditur by more than 10% in the general fund, special revenue fund, capital projects fund or debt service fund (other than permitted under state law and GAAP).	res al	Pending
Mechanism for Year-end CAFR. Measurement		1
Evaluation Comment: The completion of the SY 2018-2019 financial at	udit (CAFR) is need	ed to evaluate this
metric. The report has not been completed as of the submission of this	report.	

Part IV: Fiscal Ma	scal Management Scor		ore
	Section 3: Professional Development for the BOE and Senior Staff regarding Fiscal Management Best Practices		Int
Metric # 16a	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	3	4
Metric # 16b	All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	3	4
Mechanism for Measurement	Sign-in sheets, Observation by HSP		

Evaluation Comment: The HSP advises that board member fiscal and budget training is up-to-date and additional training is scheduled consistent with the individual member years on the board the NJSBA schedule. Finding: The district is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sustainable.

Part V: Personnel	Part V: Personnel		ore
Section 4: Expectations R	Expectations Regarding Additional Personnel Initiatives 1st A		Int
Metric # 17	The District continues to implement AchieveNJ, using both the NPS Framework for Effective Teaching and the NPS Leadership Framework. This includes training school leaders to rigorously and fairly evaluate teachers, supporting teachers based on their evaluations, and exiting low-performing staff when appropriate.	2	4
Mechanism for Measurement	Observation by HSP		

Evaluation Comment: Extensive documentation was submitted of the efforts being made by the office managing Educator Effectiveness. This included in-depth training programs to enable supervisors to better train and mentor new teachers and those with Corrective Action Plans, as well as regular email "nudges" that encouraged Assistant Superintendents and Principals to complete their evaluations on time; the efforts were largely successful. Dramatic improvement was shown year over year, not only in teacher evaluation, but in administrator evaluations. The challenge is to institutionalize this process to ensure it is consistently used in future years.

Finding: The district is fully compliant at this time. The district's practices appear to be sustainable.

ACCOUNTABILITY SCORECARD

RESULTS TO DATE: JANUARY 2019 AND JULY 2019 OBSERVATIONS

The Department of Education anticipated that the NPS was well-positioned to implement the Plan and anticipated successful scores on the metrics. The scores bear out this anticipation.

PLAN SECTION	#	METRIC	STATUS	
Part 1: Fundamental Co	nsideratio	ns	1/19 Annual	7/19 Interim
Section 7: Consequences for Not Meeting the Expectations of the Full	1	The Board does not exhibit a pattern of violations (egregious or otherwise) of the School Ethics Act.	3	4
Transition Plan	2	The Board adheres to the Conflict of Interest laws and nepotism policy in making personnel decisions and its role in personnel decisions is in line with the division of responsibilities detailed in the plan in Section 7.	4	4
Part II: Governance			1/19 Annual	7/19 Interim
Section 2: Ethics Training for BOE and Senior	3	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	4	4
Officials		All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	3	4
Section 7: Search for Successor Superintendent	4	The superintendent search meets all five requirements in conducting the search, as described in the Plan.	4	4
	5	The Board and search committee substantially meet timelines and deadlines laid out in the Plan.	4	4
Section 9: Evaluation of the Superintendent	6	The Board adheres to all three requirements of evaluating the successor superintendent, as described in the Plan, upon the conclusion of the successor superintendent's first school year and annually thereafter.	N/A	4
Section 13: Expectations Regarding Additional Initiatives	7	The Board and District adhere to all requirements and expectations around student enrollment described in the Plan.	4	Pending

PLAN SECTION	#	METRIC	STA	TUS
Part III: Instruction and	Program		1/19 Annual	7/19 Interim
Section 2: Student Performance Data	8	The District calculates and submits data analysis tor the Instruction and Program Equivalency on time (Fall 2018, when PARCC SGP and graduation data become available).	N/A	4
	9	The District makes a decision and communicates to the State about whether to apply for an extension of its equivalency or its intention to be evaluated going forward by recently revised QSAC I&P metrics.	N/A	N/A
Section 4: Professional Development for the BOE	10	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	4	4
and Senior Staff regarding Instruction and Program Best Practices		All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	4	4

LAN SECTION	#	METRIC	STA	rus
Part IV: Fiscal Manag	ement		1/19 Annual	7/19 Interin
Section 2: Basic Fiscal Obligations: Budget Requirements and Best Practices	11	The District prepares a balanced annual budget based on expense analysis, discussions with individual departments, and incorporation of anticipated new needs and cost increases.	4	4
		The Board approves a balanced budget on time.	3	4
		The Board engages appropriately with the District in the development of the Budget.	3	4
	12	Schools are allocated funding based on school-based budgeting focused on equity and transparency in school allocations.	3	4
	13	The monthly Board Secretary's report is completed and reconciled without exceptions as described in the Plan.	4	4
		Three times a year the District presents a fiscal forecast for the remainder of the year to the Board, as described in the Plan.	4	4
	14	At least monthly, the district prepares and analyzes fiscal year cash flow management for all funds, all payments are made on a prompt basis, and reimbursement requests for federal grant awards are submitted in a timely manner for the actual amount of incurred expenditures.	4	4
	15	The District ends the year with no deficit balances and no line item over appropriated expenditures by more than 10% in the general fund, special revenue fund, capital projects fund or debt service fund (other than permitted under state law and GAAP).	4	Pending
Section 3: Professional Development for	16	All trainings are completed on time by a quorum of the board members.	3	4
the BOE and Senior Staff Regarding Fiscal Management Best Practices		All trainings are completed by each member of the full BOE within 1 month of the deadline.	3	4
Part V - Personnel			1/19 Annual	
Section 4: Expectations Regarding Additional Personnel Initiatives	17	The District continues to implement AchieveNJ, using both the NPS Framework for Effective Teaching and the NPS Leadership Framework. This includes training school leaders to rigorously and fairly evaluate teachers, supporting teachers based on their evaluations, and exiting low-	2	4

NPS Transition Metric Analysis APPENDIX A

After more than two decades under full supervision by the State Department of Education, Newark Public Schools (NPS) continued its migration to full local control in February of 2018. The return of full local control, however, is predicated upon the district successfully meeting requirements of a Transition Plan (Plan) approved by the State Board of Education.

Background of State Supervision of Newark Public Schools

The Plan documents the process, actions, and commitments required by the District (its Administration and the now elected Board of Education, together) and the New Jersey Department of Education that allowed the State to withdraw from partial intervention in the areas of Governance and Instruction & Program. Successful and sustainable implementation will return authority and oversight over the District in its entirety to the local Board of Education. The State previously withdrew from areas of Operations, Fiscal Management, and Personnel.

The Plan "is predicated on optimism that progress will continue in the future." However, it also acknowledges that care and attention are required to prevent the District from regressing on efforts to improve and a return to the circumstances that led to State intervention. The Plan, therefore, provides "assistance, transparency, and accountability during the transition period and is designed to support sustainable improvements that will continue to benefit the children of Newark for many years to come." The plan created an "Accountability Scorecard" to measure the district's success in implementing these improvements.

The Plan notes that over the last few years the District has seen significant success in achieving its goals and demonstrating that progress is sustainable. As the end of the long process, the Plan requires the Department to continue its monitoring to ensure the plan is successfully implemented and is sustainable. The Plan is scheduled to expire on January 31, 2020, but the State Board of Education must find that NPS has satisfied all components of the Plan before the transition to local control is complete.

To support the transition effort, the state has appointed a "Highly Skilled Professional" (HSP) to assist in the implementation of the plan. In addition, the State has placed Auditors in the district to support budgetary and accounting integrity.

A final element of support is the creation of the "Comprehensive Accountability Office." Taken together, these elements, working in concert with the District and its combination of newly elected and remaining former advisory members of the Board of Education and the board-appointed Superintendent are expected to lead the way to continued success for the students of Newark.

Role of The Comprehensive Accountability Office

The Plan called for the State to partner with "an independent entity to form a Comprehensive Accountability Office (CAO) with the capacity to collect, analyze, and publish data regarding the ongoing operations and performance of school districts and boards recently exited from State intervention and returned to local control." The NPS is the first district the CAO is tasked to support.

After a series of meetings with Department staff and consultants (which extended over the change in state administrations from 2017 into 2018), the Commissioner of Education, who oversees the work of the CAO, engaged the Bloustein Local Government Research Center, a unit of the Rutgers University Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy to serve as the CAO under a contract with the Department. Bloustein Local is composed of individuals with deep experience in New Jersey state and local government activities, along with an understanding of public school administration that enables them to perform this task. The Commissioner has expressed full confidence in the capacity of Bloustein Local to fulfill the unique position of the CAO .

The CAO is charged with gathering and analyzing data from the District and State (supported by the HSP) to monitor progress and compliance with the metrics established in the Plan's Accountability Scorecard "to determine if the expectations for sustained progress and continual improvement continue to be met."

Specifically, the CAO is be responsible to:

- 1. Compile relevant data and information to establish the annual status of the District compared to the Scorecard metrics and providing information necessary to assess annual progress on the Plan.
- 2. Publish and present the data to the Department and to the public in a credible, digestible, and actionable way, such as through the development of an easy to understand dashboard of District performance that will be publicly available.

The CAO is to present observations and findings based on evidence, but not to express value interpretations concerning Scorecard compliance. Under the Plan, the CAO report findings (along with other inputs), if warranted, allows the Department to establish interventions to address concerns or deficiencies.

This report is the first of two required annual reports required under the Plan. As the initial effort, the website to present data is will not be available until several months after the publication of this report, but, is intended to be available by June 30th at the latest. That date coincides with a required semi-annual update by the CAO. However, this report contains web links to the evidence relied upon by the CAO for its observations. Those material at those links will be transferred to the website when it is completed.

The Scorecard and its Evaluation

The Accountability Scorecard is the core of the CAO's role. This table represents the expectations contained in the Transition Report on which the CAO conducts its efforts. Specifically, it is "to track and measure the District's progress toward implementing this transition plan, and a timetable for activities relating to and leading to the withdrawal of State intervention, which identifies and sequences the benchmarks laid out throughout this Plan in the appropriate sections."

The Scorecard tracks the Plan through five sections:

- Part 1 Fundamental Considerations
- Part 2 Governance
- Part 3 Instruction and Program
- Part 4 Fiscal Management
- Part 5 Personnel

The Scorecard covers most, but not all the requirements in the Plan. It includes specific metrics and mechanisms for measurement that are aligned with the Plan The work of the CAO is built on top of it. The Plan requires the CAO to assess compliance with the metrics through its measurement standards. The CAO's assessment is to be accompanied by documentation that supports its observations.

The Plan notes that it is not only the Scorecard, but that the Plan itself should be "seen as a complimentary process to the QSAC." The District is still expected to complete the annual Statement of Assurance required by the QSAC and prepare for QSAC monitoring as required. The District filed for "equivalency" of Instruction and Program Indicators during the 2016-2017 school year and approval was given by the Department for a three-year period. That approval will expire in 2020 and the District will need to determine by November 15, 2019 whether it wants the Department to evaluate it under the Instruction and Program Indicators that became effective on July 1, 2018 or whether it wants to submit a new equivalency request.

The Plan calls for each metric to be evaluated on the following scale:

- 1 Not Implemented: There is no significant evidence that the standard is implemented.
- 2 Partially Implemented: A partially implemented standard is being met in only a limited way.
- 3 Substantially Meeting: Most elements of the metric are being met and are sustainable.
- 4 Fully Implemented: All elements of the standard are substantially implemented, are sustainable, and have a process for ongoing evaluation.

Given the timing of this report and the expected phase-in of various plan elements, the CAO has added to the list a Not Applicable (NA) category, indicating that the metric cannot be measured at this time, but is expected to be measurable for subsequent reports.

The Scorecard with the evaluation results as of this time is provided as Table 1. During the review the CAO observed that the Mechanism for Measurement for Metrics 8 and 9 is "Report by State" was inconsistent with the Metric. Compliance with both metrics requires the submission of documents to the Department (State). These metrics do not require a report by the state; they require action by the district. Upon consultation with the Department and the district, it was concluded the only valid meaning of the measurement is the filing of documents with the Department. Based on the foregoing, the CAO's observations are based on this adjustment and the report reflects the change from by to to for Metrics 8 and 9.

NPS Transition Metric Analysis APPENDIX B

Marc H. Pfeiffer, Senior Policy Fellow

B.S. in Urban Affairs, American University; MPA, New York University
Assistant Director, <u>Bloustein Local Government Research Center</u>
Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers University

Contact

33 Livingston Avenue, Suite 300 (848) 932-2830

marc.pfeiffer@rutgers.edu

Research Interests

Energy management

Municipal Governments
Finance and Property Taxation
Public Contracting
Shared Government Services
Technology

Marc H. Pfeiffer retired in 2012 from a 37-year career in New Jersey local government administration, having served as a municipal administrator in several municipalities, and 26 years of service in the State's local government oversight agency, the Division of Local Government Services. At DLGS he served as Deputy Director for 14 years, and periodically as Acting Director. He is now in this third public service career at the Bloustein School where he continues to assist state and local government officials and the public on a range of public policy and administrative matters.

Marc has broad experience in many areas of local government policy and administration, including specific expertise in areas such as finance and property taxation, public procurement, shared services and consolidation, technology, energy, labor relations, and general local and state government administration. He also has deep experience in the legislative process and as a regulatory officer.

In addition to participating in Bloustein Local, Marc makes his extensive government experience available as a guest lecturer, conference presenter, and other collaborative efforts. He is also assisting the Rutgers School of Public Affairs and Administration with the State's Certified Public Manager Program in curriculum development and instruction.

He also serves as the Principal Investigator for Bloustein Local in its capacity as the N.J. Department of Education's Chief Accountability Office for state supervised school districts in the process of exiting state supervision.

The Bloustein Local Government Research Center

New Jersey is served by more than 1,500 distinct local government agencies: municipalities, school districts, utilities, counties, and more. Yet, even with this wealth of opportunity, precious little substantive research has been done within the local government environment to inform some of our state's most pressing policy issues.

The Bloustein Local Government Research Center, or Bloustein Local http://blousteinlocal.rutgers.edu/serves as a focal point and engages in a range of services, including:

- Encouraging and conducting applied and academic research on local government fiscal and administrative issues, emphasizing application and support to New Jersey local government.
- Developing resources that can assist others in conducting research and analysis.
- Organizing and hosting conferences and symposia on New Jersey local government fiscal and administrative issues.
- Supporting New Jersey local government fiscal and administrative policy development, implementation, and analysis through contract research and on-call advice for organizations and institutions that engage in local government policy setting and policymaking.
- Promoting and increasing public understanding of local government issues by partnering with and supporting civic and media organizations that inform and educate the public on local government matters.

A list of the Center's current projects may be found online at http://blousteinlocal.rutgers.edu/projects/.

RUTGERS

Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy

Bloustein Local Government Research Center Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey 33 Livingston Avenue New Brunswick, N.J. 08901

p. 848-932-5475 blousteinlocal.rutgers.edu

© 2019, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey